

STABILITY OF STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION DRIVEN BY TIME-CHANGED LÉVY NOISE

ERKAN NANE AND YINAN NI

ABSTRACT. This paper studies stabilities of stochastic differential equation (SDE) driven by time-changed Lévy noise in both probability and moment sense. This provides more flexibility in modeling schemes in application areas including physics, biology, engineering, finance and hydrology. Necessary conditions for solution of time-changed SDE to be stable in different senses will be established. Connection between stability of solution to time-changed SDE and that to corresponding original SDE will be disclosed. Examples related to different stabilities will be given. We study SDEs with time-changed Lévy noise, where the time-change processes are inverse of general Lévy subordinators. These results are important improvements of the results in Wu [17].

1. INTRODUCTION

It has been a long time since stochastic differential equations (SDEs) started being applied in various areas, including biology [6], physics [4], engineering [16], finance [5]. SDEs are taken as important tools in modeling and simulating real phenomena, the stability of SDEs has been studied widely by mathematicians in different senses, such as stochastically stable, stochastically asymptotically stable, moment exponentially stable, almost surely stable, mean square polynomial stable, see [1, 9, 15, 18]. A systematic introduction of stabilities is provided by Mao in [11].

During last few decades, time-changed SDEs attracted lots of attention and became one of the most active areas in stochastic analysis and many applied areas of science. Their probability density functions provide solutions to fractional Fokker-Planck equations of different kinds, see [12, 14], which are also very important in modeling and describing phenomena in applied areas, see [13].

In [7] Kobayashi discussed relationship between time-changed SDEs

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} dX(t) &= f(E_t, X(t-))dE_t + g(E_t, X(t-))dZ_{E_t}, \\ X(0) &= x_0, \end{aligned}$$

and the corresponding non-time-changed SDEs

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} dY(t) &= f(t, Y(t-))dt + g(t, Y(t-))dZ_t, \\ Y(0) &= x_0, \end{aligned}$$

where Z_t is an \mathcal{F}_t -semimartingale and E_t is an inverse of a right continuous with left limit (RCLL) nondecreasing process $\{D(t), t \geq 0\}$: if a process $Y(t)$ satisfies SDE (1.2), then $X(t) := Y(E_t)$ satisfies the time-changed SDE (1.1); if a process $X(t)$ satisfies the time-changed SDE (1.1), then $Y(t) := X(D(t))$ satisfies SDE (1.2).

Kobayashi also studied Itô formula driven by time-changed SDE which is provided under certain conditions as below,

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} f(X_t) - f(x_0) &= \int_0^t f'(X_{s-})A_s ds + \int_0^{E_t} f'(X_{D(s-)-})F_{D(s-)} ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^{E_t} f'(X_{D(s-)-})G_{D(s-)} dZ_s \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{E_t} f''(X_{D(s-)-})\{G_{D(s-)}\}^2 d[Z, Z]_s^c \\ &\quad + \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \{f(X_s) - f(X_{s-}) - f'(X_{s-})\Delta X_s\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a C^2 function.

In light of time-changed Itô formula, recent paper [17] analyzes the SDE driven by time-changed Brownian motion

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} dX(t) &= k(t, E_t, X(t-))dt + f(t, E_t, X(t-))dE_t + g(t, E_t, X(t-))dB_{E_t}, \\ X(0) &= x_0, \end{aligned}$$

where E_t is specified as an inverse of a stable subordinator of index β in $(0, 1)$, and discusses the stability of solution to above SDE in probability sense, including stochastically stable, stochastically asymptotically stable and globally stochastically asymptotically stable.

Main result of this paper is to provide necessary conditions for solutions of SDEs driven by time-changed Lévy noise to be stable not only in probability sense but also in moment sense. Our results improve the results of [17] in two respects. Firstly, we study SDEs with time-changed Lévy noise. Secondly, we work with time-change processes that are inverse of general Lévy subordinators.

In the remaining parts of this paper, further needed concepts and related background will be given in the preliminary section. In the main result section, necessary conditions for solution of time-changed SDEs to be stable in different senses will be given. Connections between stability of solution to time-changed SDE and that to corresponding original SDE will be disclosed and some examples will be given. Last section will show proofs of theorems mentioned in main result section.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, P)$ be a filtered probability space satisfying usual hypotheses of completeness and right continuity. Let \mathcal{F}_t -adapted Poisson random measure N defined on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathbb{R} - \{0\})$ with compensator \tilde{N} and intensity measure ν , where ν is a Lévy measure such that $\tilde{N}(dt, dy) = N(dt, dy) - \nu(dy)dt$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R} - \{0\}} (|y|^2 \wedge 1) \nu(dy) < \infty$.

Let $\{D(t), t \geq 0\}$ be a RCLL increasing Lévy process that is called subordinator starting from 0 with Laplace transform

$$(2.1) \quad \mathbb{E}e^{-\lambda D(t)} = e^{-t\phi(\lambda)},$$

where Laplace exponent $\phi(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\lambda x})\nu(dx)$.

Define its inverse

$$(2.2) \quad E_t := \inf\{\tau > 0 : D(\tau) > t\}.$$

This paper focuses on different stabilities of the following SDE:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} dX(t) &= f(t, E_t, X(t-))dt + k(t, E_t, X(t-))dE_t + g(t, E_t, X(t-))dB_{E_t} \\ &\quad + \int_{|y| < c} h(t, E_t, X(t-), y)\tilde{N}(dE_t, dy), \end{aligned}$$

with $X(0) = x_0$, where f, k, g, h are real-valued functions satisfying the following Lipschitz condition 2.1 and assumption 2.2 such that there exists a unique $\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_{E_t}$ adapted process $X(t)$ satisfying time changed SDE (2.3), see Lemma 4.1 in [7].

Assumption 2.1. (*Lipschitz condition*) *There exists a positive constant K such that*

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} &\left|f(t_1, t_2, x) - f(t_1, t_2, y)\right|^2 + \left|k(t_1, t_2, x) - k(t_1, t_2, y)\right|^2 + \left|g(t_1, t_2, x) - g(t_1, t_2, y)\right|^2 \\ &+ \int_{|z| < c} \left|h(t_1, t_2, x, z) - h(t_1, t_2, y, z)\right|^2 \nu(dz) \leq K|x - y|^2, \end{aligned}$$

for all $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Assumption 2.2. *If $X(t)$ is a RCLL and \mathcal{G}_t -adapted process, then*

$$(2.5) \quad f(t, E_t, X(t)), k(t, E_t, X(t)), g(t, E_t, X(t)), h(t, E_t, X(t), y) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}_t),$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G}_t)$ denotes the class of RCLL and \mathcal{G}_t -adapted processes.

Next we give definitions of different stabilities of SDE (2.3).

Definition 2.3. (1) The trivial solution of the time-changed SDE (2.3) is said to be stochastically stable or stable in probability if for every pair of $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $r > 0$, there exists a $\delta = \delta(\epsilon, r) > 0$ such that

$$(2.6) \quad P\{|X(t, x_0)| < r \text{ for all } t \geq 0\} \geq 1 - \epsilon$$

whenever $|x_0| < \delta$.

(2) The trivial solution of the time-changed SDE (2.3) is said to be stochastically asymptotically stable if for every $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exists a $\delta_0 = \delta_0(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$(2.7) \quad P\{\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} X(t, x_0) = 0\} \geq 1 - \epsilon$$

whenever $|x_0| < \delta_0$.

(3) The trivial solution of the time-changed SDE (2.3) is said to be globally stochastically asymptotically stable or stochastically asymptotically stable in the large if it is stochastically stable and for all $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(2.8) \quad P\{\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} X(t, x_0) = 0\} = 1.$$

Definition 2.4. (1) The trivial solution of the time-changed SDE (2.3) is said to be p th moment exponentially stable if there are positive constants λ and C such that

$$(2.9) \quad E[|X(t)|^p] \leq C|x_0|^p \exp(-\lambda t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad p > 0.$$

(2) The trivial solution of the time-changed SDE (2.3) is said to be p th moment asymptotically stable if there is a function $v(t) : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ decaying to 0 as $t \rightarrow \infty$ and a positive constant C such that

$$(2.10) \quad E[|X(t)|^p] \leq C|x_0|^p v(t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad p > 0.$$

Let \mathcal{K} denote the family of all nondecreasing functions $\mu : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that $\mu(r) > 0$ for all $r > 0$. Also let $S_h = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x| < h\}$ and $\bar{S}_h = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : |x| \leq h\}$ for all $h > 0$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, time-changed Itô formula driven by SDE (2.3) will be given, then necessary conditions for different stabilities will be established, followed by some examples.

The next lemma is a version of the Itô formula in Corollary 3.4 in [7].

Lemma 3.1. (Itô formula for time-changed Lévy noise) Let $D(t)$ be a RCLL subordinator and E_t its inverse process as (2.2). Define a filtration $\{\mathcal{G}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ by $\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_{E_t}$. Let X be a process defined as following:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} X(t) = & x_0 + \int_0^t f(t, E_t, X(t-))dt + \int_0^t k(t, E_t, X(t-))dE_t + \int_0^t g(t, E_t, X(t-))dB_{E_t} \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{|y| < c} h(t, E_t, X(t-), y) \tilde{N}(dE_t, dy), \end{aligned}$$

where f, k, g, h are measurable functions such that all integrals are defined. Here c is the maximum allowable jump size.

Then, for all $F : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ in $C^{1,1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$, with probability one,

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} F(t, E_t, X(t)) - F(0, 0, x_0) = & \int_0^t L_1 F(s, E_s, X(s-))ds + \int_0^t L_2 F(s, E_s, X(s-))dE_s \\ & + \int_0^t \int_{|y| < c} [F(s, E_s, X(s-) + h(s, E_s, X(s-), y)) - F(s, E_s, X(s-))] \tilde{N}(dE_s, dy) \\ & + \int_0^t F_x(s, E_s, X(s-))g(s, E_s, X(s-))dB_{E_s}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
L_1 F(t_1, t_2, x) &= F_{t_1}(t_1, t_2, x) + F_x(t_1, t_2, x)f(t_1, t_2, x), \\
(3.3) \quad L_2 F(t_1, t_2, x) &= F_{t_2}(t_1, t_2, x) + F_x(t_1, t_2, x)k(t_1, t_2, x) + \frac{1}{2}g^2(t_1, t_2, x)F_{xx}(t_1, t_2, x) \\
&\quad + \int_{|y|<c} \left[F(t_1, t_2, x + h(t_1, t_2, x, y)) - F(t_1, t_2, x) - F_x(t_1, t_2, x)h(t_1, t_2, x, y) \right] \nu(dy).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. This proof is a direct application of multidimensional Itô formula, which is established in Corollary 3.4 in [7], to $F(t, E_t, X(t))$ in $C^{1,1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.4) \quad F(t, E_t, X(t)) - F(0, 0, x_0) &= \int_0^t F_{t_1}(s, E_s, X(s-))ds + \int_0^t F_{t_2}(s, E_s, X(s-))dE_s \\
&\quad + \int_0^t F_x(s, E_s, X(s-)) \left[f(s, E_s, X(s-))ds + k(s, E_s, X(s-))dE_s \right. \\
&\quad \left. + g(s, E_s, X(s-))dB_{E_s} \right] + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t F_{xx}(s, E_s, X(s-))g(s, E_s, X(s-))dE_s \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_{|y|<c} \left[F(s, E_s, X(s-) + h(s, E_s, X(s-), y)) - F(s, E_s, X(s-)) \right] \tilde{N}(dE_s, dy) \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_{|y|<c} \left[F(s, E_s, X(s-) + h(s, E_s, X(s-), y)) - F(s, E_s, X(s-)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - F_x(s, E_s, X(s-))h(s, E_s, X(s-), y) \right] \nu(dy)dE_s \\
&= \int_0^t L_1 F(s, E_s, X(s-))ds + \int_0^t L_2 F(s, E_s, X(s-))dE_s \\
&\quad + \int_0^t \int_{|y|<c} \left[F(s, E_s, X(s-) + h(s, E_s, X(s-), y)) - F(s, E_s, X(s-)) \right] \tilde{N}(dE_s, dy) \\
&\quad + \int_0^t F_x(s, E_s, X(s-))g(s, E_s, X(s-))dB_{E_s}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.2. Let $D(t)$ be a RCLL subordinator and E_t be its inverse process as in (2.2). Define a filtration $\{\mathcal{G}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ by $\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_{E_t}$. Let \tilde{N} be a compensated Poisson measure defined on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathbb{R} - \{0\})$ with intensity measure ν , where ν is a Lévy measure such that $\tilde{N}(dt, dy) = N(dt, dy) - \nu(dy)dt$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}-\{0\}} (|y|^2 \wedge 1) \nu(dy) < \infty$. Then, for any $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R} - \{0\})$ bounded below, time-changed process $\tilde{N}(E_t, A)$ is a martingale.

Proof. Let $\tau_n = \inf\{t \geq 0; |\tilde{N}(t, A)| \geq n\}$, it is obvious that $\tau_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $|\tilde{N}(\tau_n \wedge t, A)| \leq n+1$, for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, thus $\tilde{N}(\tau_n \wedge t, A)$ is a bounded martingale.

By optional stopping theorem, for any $0 \leq s < t$,

$$(3.5) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\tilde{N}(\tau_n \wedge E_t, A) | \mathcal{G}_s \right] = \tilde{N}(\tau_n \wedge E_s, A).$$

The right hand side $\tilde{N}(\tau_n \wedge E_s, A)$ converges to $\tilde{N}(E_s, A)$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For the left hand side, we have

$$(3.6) \quad |\tilde{N}(\tau_n \wedge E_t, A)| \leq \sup_{0 \leq u \leq t} |\tilde{N}(E_u, A)|,$$

thus, by Hölder's inequality, Doob's martingale inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.7) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \tilde{N}(\tau_n \wedge E_t, A) \right| \right] &\leq \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sup_{0 \leq u \leq t} \tilde{N}(E_u, A) \right| \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sup_{0 \leq u \leq E_t} \tilde{N}(u, A) \right| \right] \\
&= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sup_{0 \leq u \leq \tau} \tilde{N}(u, A) \right| \middle| \tau = E_t \right] f_{E_t}(\tau) d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \sup_{0 \leq u \leq \tau} \tilde{N}(u, A) \right|^2 \middle| \tau = E_t \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{E_t}(\tau) d\tau \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty 2\mathbb{E} \left[\left| \tilde{N}(\tau, A) \right|^2 \middle| \tau = E_t \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{E_t}(\tau) d\tau \\
&= 2 \int_0^\infty [\nu(A)\tau]^{\frac{1}{2}} f_{E_t}(\tau) d\tau \\
&= 2\nu(A)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E}[E_t^{\frac{1}{2}}]. \\
&\leq 2\nu(A)^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbb{E}[E_t]^{\frac{1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from Jensen's inequality.

For any $t \geq 0$ and $x > 0$, by Markov's inequality, we have

$$(3.8) \quad P(E_t > s) \leq P(D(s) < t) = P(e^{-xD(s)} \geq e^{-xt}) \leq e^{xt} \mathbb{E}[e^{-xD(s)}] = e^{xt} e^{-s\phi(x)},$$

it follows that

$$(3.9) \quad \mathbb{E}[E_t] = \int_0^\infty P(E_t > s) ds = e^{xt} \frac{1}{\phi(x)} < \infty.$$

Then, by dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$(3.10) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\tilde{N}(\tau_n \wedge E_t, A) | \mathcal{G}_s \right] \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \left[\tilde{N}(E_t, A) | \mathcal{G}_s \right],$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. So

$$(3.11) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\tilde{N}(E_t, A) | \mathcal{G}_s \right] = \tilde{N}(E_s, A).$$

Also,

$$(3.12) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[\left| \tilde{N}(E_t, A) \right| \right] \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{0 \leq u \leq t} \left| \tilde{N}(E_u, A) \right| \right] < \infty,$$

thus $\tilde{N}(E_t, A)$ is a martingale. □

Theorem 3.3. Assume that there exists a function $V(t_1, t_2, x) \in C^{1,1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times S_h, \mathbb{R})$ with $h \geq 2c$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{K}$ such that for all $(t_1, t_2, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times S_h$

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad &1. V(t_1, t_2, 0) = 0, \\
&2. \mu(|x|) \leq V(t_1, t_2, x), \\
&3. L_1 V(t_1, t_2, x) \leq 0, \\
&4. L_2 V(t_1, t_2, x) \leq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

then the trivial solution of the time-changed SDE (2.3) is stochastically stable or stable in probability.

Proof. See Section 4. □

Remark 3.4. Note that L_1 and L_2 mentioned here and in following theorems are same as these in Lemma 3.1, c is maximum allowable jump size in (2.3).

Theorem 3.5. Assume that there exists a function $V(t_1, t_2, x) \in C^{1,1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times S_h, \mathbb{R})$ with $h \geq 2c$ and $\mu \in \mathcal{K}$ such that for all $(t_1, t_2, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times S_h$

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & 1. V(t_1, t_2, 0) = 0, \\ & 2. \mu(|x|) \leq V(t_1, t_2, x), \\ & 3. L_1V(t_1, t_2, x) \leq -\gamma_1(\alpha) \text{ a.s. and } L_2V(t_1, t_2, x) \leq -\gamma_2(\alpha) \text{ a.s., for any } \alpha \in (0, h), \\ & \text{where } \gamma_1(\alpha) \geq 0 \text{ and } \gamma_2(\alpha) \geq 0 \text{ but not equal to zero at the same time, } x \in S_h - \bar{S}_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

then the trivial solution of the time-changed SDE (2.3) is stochastically asymptotically stable.

Proof. See Section 4. □

Theorem 3.6. Assume that there exists a function $V(t_1, t_2, x) \in C^{1,1,2}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $u \in \mathcal{K}$ such that for all $(t_1, t_2, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & 1. V(t_1, t_2, 0) = 0, \\ & 2. \mu(|x|) \leq V(t_1, t_2, x), \\ & 3. L_1V(t_1, t_2, x) \leq -\gamma_1(x) \text{ a.s. and } L_2V(t_1, t_2, x) \leq -\gamma_2(x) \text{ a.s.,} \\ & \text{where } \gamma_1(x) \geq 0 \text{ and } \gamma_2(x) \geq 0 \text{ but not equal to zero at the same time,} \\ & 4. \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{t_1, t_2 \geq 0} V(t_1, t_2, x) = \infty, \end{aligned}$$

then the trivial solution of the time-changed SDE (2.3) is globally stochastically asymptotically stable.

Proof. This proof has similar idea as Theorem 4.2.4 in [11], so we omit the details here. □

Example 3.7. Consider the following SDE driven by time-changed Lévy noise

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} dX(t) = & f(t, E_t)X(t)dt + k(t, E_t)X(t)dE_t \\ & + g(t, E_t)X(t)dB_{E_t} + \int_{|y| < c} h(t, E_t, y)X(t)d\tilde{N}(dE_s, dy) \end{aligned}$$

with $X(0) = x_0$, where k, f, g, h are \mathcal{G}_t -measurable real-valued functions satisfying Lipschitz condition 2.1 and assumption 2.2. Define Lyapunov function

$$(3.17) \quad V(t_1, t_2, x) = |x|^\alpha$$

on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$ for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$. Then

$$(3.18) \quad L_1V(t_1, t_2, x) = \alpha f(t_1, t_2)|x|^\alpha$$

and

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} L_2V(t_1, t_2, x) = & \left[\alpha k(t_1, t_2) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2} g^2(t_1, t_2) \right. \\ & \left. + \int_{|y| < c} \left[|1 + h(t_1, t_2, y)|^\alpha - 1 - \alpha h(t_1, t_2, y) \right] \nu(dy) \right] |x|^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if

$$(3.20) \quad \alpha f(t, E_t) \leq 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

and

$$(3.21) \quad \alpha k(t, E_t) + \frac{\alpha(\alpha - 1)}{2} g^2(t, E_t) + \int_{|y| < c} \left[|1 + h(t, E_t, y)|^\alpha - 1 - \alpha h(t, E_t, y) \right] \nu(dy) \leq 0 \text{ a.s.}$$

for all $t, E_t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the trivial solution of SDE (3.16) is stochastically stable, by Theorem 3.3.

Let $\alpha = 0.5$, $c = 1$ and $f(t_1, t_2) = -1$, $k(t_1, t_2) = 0.25$, $g(t_1, t_2) = 1$, $h(t_1, t_2, y) = y$ for all $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then

$$(3.22) \quad L_1V(t_1, t_2, x) = -\frac{|x|^\alpha}{2} \leq 0$$

and

$$(3.23) \quad L_2 V(t_1, t_2, x) = \int_{|y| < 1} \left[|1 + y|^{\frac{1}{2}} - 1 - \frac{1}{2}y \right] \nu(dy) < 0.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 3.6, trivial solution of SDE

$$(3.24) \quad dX(t) = -X(t)dt + 0.25X(t)dE_t + X(t)dB_{E_t} + \int_{|y| < 1} yX(t)d\tilde{N}(ds, dy)$$

with $X(0) = x_0$ is globally stochastically asymptotically stable.

Theorem 3.8. Let $p, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ be positive constants. If $V \in C^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}; \mathbb{R}_+)$ satisfies

$$(3.25) \quad \begin{aligned} 1. & V(t_1, t_2, 0) = 0, & 2. & \alpha_1|x|^p \leq V(t_1, t_2, x) \leq \alpha_2|x|^p, \\ 3. & L_2V(t_1, t_2, x) \leq 0, & 4. & L_1V(t_1, t_2, x) \leq -\alpha_3V(t_1, t_2, x), \end{aligned}$$

$\forall (t_1, t_2, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$, then the trivial solution of the time-changed SDE (2.3) is p th moment exponentially stable with

$$(3.26) \quad \mathbb{E}|X(t, x_0)|^p \leq \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}|x_0|^p \exp(-\alpha_3 t).$$

Proof. See Section 4. □

Example 3.9. Consider the following SDE driven by time-changed Lévy noise

$$(3.27) \quad dX(t) = -X(t)dt + E_t dB_{E_t} + \int_{|y| < 1} [X(t)y^2 - X(t)] \tilde{N}(dE_t, dy)$$

with $X(0) = x_0$ and ν is a Lévy measure. Let $V(t_1, t_2, x) = |x|$, then

$$(3.28) \quad L_1 V(t_1, t_2, x) = -|x|$$

and

$$(3.29) \quad \begin{aligned} L_2 V(t_1, t_2, x) &= \int_{|y| < 1} [|x + xy^2 - x| - |x| - \text{sgn}(x)(xy^2 - x)] \nu(dy) \\ &= \int_{|y| < 1} [(|y^2| - y^2)|x|] \nu(dy) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.8, $X(t)$ is first moment exponentially stable, that is,

$$(3.30) \quad \mathbb{E}|X(t, x_0)| \leq |x_0| \exp(-t), \forall t \geq 0.$$

Next, we reduce SDE (2.3) by setting $f(t, E_t, X(t-)) = 0$,

$$(3.31) \quad dX(t) = k(E_t, X(t-))dE_t + g(E_t, X(t-))dB_{E_t} + \int_{|y| < c} h(E_t, X(t-), y)\tilde{N}(dE_t, dy),$$

with $X(0) = x_0$.

Kobayashi [7] mentioned duality related to (3.31) and the following SDE

$$(3.32) \quad dY(t) = k(t, Y(t-))dt + g(t, Y(t-))dB_t + \int_{|y| < c} h(t, Y(t-), y)\tilde{N}(dt, dy), Y(0) = x_0,$$

with $Y(0) = x_0$, stating that

1. If a process $Y(t)$ satisfies SDE (3.32), then $X(t) := Y(E_t)$ satisfies the time-changed SDE (3.31);
2. If a process $X(t)$ satisfies the time-changed SDE (3.31), then $Y(t) := X(D(t))$ satisfies SDE (3.32).

Corollary 3.10. Let $Y(t)$ be a stochastically stable (stochastically asymptotically stable, globally stochastically asymptotically stable) process satisfying SDE (3.32), then the trivial solution $X(t)$ of SDE (3.31) is a stochastically stable (stochastically asymptotically stable, globally stochastically asymptotically stable) process, respectively.

Proof. This proof has similar idea as Corollary 3.1 in [17], thus we omit details.

Though the conclusion of Corollary 3.1 in [17] is correct, there is a minor problem in the proof. We correct it as following

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.33) \quad P\{|X(t, x_0)| < h, \forall t \geq 0\} &= P\{|Y(E_t, x_0)| < h, \forall t \geq 0\} \\
&= P\left\{\sup_{0 \leq t < \infty} |Y(E_t, x_0)| < h\right\} \\
&= P\left\{\sup_{\{E_t: 0 \leq t < \infty\}} |Y(E_t, x_0)| < h\right\} \\
&= P\left\{\sup_{0 \leq \tau < \infty} |Y(\tau, x_0)| < h\right\} \\
&= P\{|Y(t, x_0)| < h, \forall t \geq 0\} \\
&= 1 - \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we use the fact that the image of $[0, \infty)$ under E_t process is almost surely equal to $[0, \infty)$. \square

Corollary 3.11. *Let $Y(t)$ be a p th moment exponentially stable process satisfying SDE (3.32), the $X(t)$ is a p th moment asymptotically stable satisfying SDE (3.31).*

Proof. See Section 4. \square

Remark 3.12. *Existence of p th moment stability of the solution of SDE (3.32) has been proved by Theorem 3.5.1 in Siakalli [15].*

4. PROOFS OF MAIN RESULTS

4.1. Proof of Theorem 3.3.

Proof. Let $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and $r \in (0, h)$ be arbitrary. By continuity of $V(t_1, t_2, x)$ and the fact $V(t_1, t_2, 0) = 0$, we can find a $\delta = \delta(\epsilon, r, 0) > 0$ such that

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{1}{\epsilon} \sup_{x \in S_\delta} V(0, 0, x_0) \leq \mu(r).$$

By (4.1) and condition (2), $\delta < r$. Fix initial value $x_0 \in S_\delta$ arbitrarily and define the stopping time

$$(4.2) \quad \tau_r = \inf\{t \geq 0 : |X(t, x_0)| \geq r\},$$

where $r \leq \frac{h}{2}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.3) \quad U_k &= k \wedge \inf\{t \geq 0; \left| \int_0^{\tau_r \wedge t} V_x(s, E_s, X(s-))g(s, E_s, X(s-))dB_{E_s} \right| \geq k\}, \\
W_k &= k \wedge \inf\{t \geq 0; \left| \int_0^{\tau_r \wedge t} \int_{|y| < c} \left[V(s, E_s, X(s-) + H(s, E_s, X(s-), y)) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - V(s, E_s, X(s-)) \right] \tilde{N}(dE_s, dy) \right| \geq k\},
\end{aligned}$$

for $k=1, 2, \dots$. It is easy to see that $U_k \rightarrow \infty$ and $W_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Apply Itô formula (3.2) to $V(t_1, t_2, x)$ associated with SDE (2.3), then for any $t \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.4) \quad &V(t \wedge \tau_r \wedge U_k \wedge W_k, E_{t \wedge \tau_r \wedge U_k \wedge W_k}, X(t \wedge \tau_r \wedge U_k \wedge W_k)) - V(0, 0, x_0) \\
&= \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_r \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} L_1 V(s, E_s, X(s-))ds \\
&+ \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_r \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} L_2 V(s, E_s, X(s-))dE_s + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_r \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} V_x(s, E_s, X(s-))g(s, E_s, X(s-))dB_{E_s} \\
&+ \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_r \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} \int_{|y| < c} \left[V(s, E_s, X(s-) + H(s, E_s, X(s-), y)) - V(s, E_s, X(s-)) \right] \tilde{N}(dE_s, dy).
\end{aligned}$$

By [10] and [8], both

$$(4.5) \quad \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_r \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} V_x(s, E_s, X(s-))g(s, E_s, X(s-))dB_{E_s}$$

and

$$(4.6) \quad \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_r \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} \int_{|y| < c} \left[V(s, E_s, X(s-) + H(s, E_s, X(s-), y)) - V(s, E_s, X(s-)) \right] \tilde{N}(dE_s, dy)$$

are mean zero martingales.

Taking expectations on both sides, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[V(t \wedge \tau_r \wedge U_k \wedge W_k, E_{t \wedge \tau_r \wedge U_k \wedge W_k}, X(t \wedge \tau_r \wedge U_k \wedge W_k))] \leq V(0, 0, x_0).$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mathbb{E}[V(t \wedge \tau_r, E_{t \wedge \tau_r}, X(t \wedge \tau_r))] \leq V(0, 0, x_0).$$

Now, $|X(t \wedge \tau_r)| < r$ for $t < \tau_r$. For all $w \in \{\tau_r < \infty\}$, $|X(\tau_r)(w)| \leq r + c \leq h$. Since $V(t_1, t_2, x) \geq \mu(|x|)$ for all $x \in S_h$, we have for all $w \in \{\tau_r < \infty\}$

$$(4.7) \quad V(\tau_r, E_{\tau_r}, X(\tau_r)(w)) \geq \mu(|X(\tau_r)(w)|) \geq \mu(r).$$

Also,

$$(4.8) \quad V(0, 0, x_0) \geq E[V(t \wedge \tau_r, E_{t \wedge \tau_r}, X(t \wedge \tau_r))1_{\{\tau_r < t\}}] \geq E[\mu(r)1_{\{\tau_r < t\}}] = \mu(r)P(\tau_r < t),$$

thus, combined with (4.1),

$$(4.9) \quad P(\tau_r < t) \leq \frac{V(0, 0, x_0)}{\mu(r)} \leq \frac{\epsilon \mu(r)}{\mu(r)} = \epsilon.$$

Then, letting $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$(4.10) \quad P(\tau_r < \infty) \leq \epsilon,$$

equivalently,

$$(4.11) \quad P(|X(t, x_0)| < r \text{ for all } t \geq 0) \geq 1 - \epsilon,$$

so $X(t, x_0)$ is stochastically stable. □

4.2. Proof of Theorem (3.5).

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, trivial solution of (2.3) is stochastically stable. For any fixed $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, there exists $\delta = \delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that

$$(4.12) \quad P(|X(t, x_0)| < h) \geq 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{5}$$

when $x_0 \in S_\delta$. Fix $x_0 \in S_\delta$ and let $0 < \alpha < \beta < |x_0|$ arbitrarily. Define the following stopping times

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \tau_h &= \inf\{t \geq 0; |X(t, x_0)| > h\} \\ \tau_\alpha &= \inf\{t \geq 0; |X(t, x_0)| < \alpha\} \\ U_k &= k \wedge \inf\{t \geq 0; \left| \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_h \wedge \tau_\alpha} V_x(s, E_s, X(s-))g(s, E_s, X(s-))dB_{E_s} \right| \geq k\}, \\ W_k &= k \wedge \inf\{t \geq 0; \left| \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_h \wedge \tau_\alpha} \int_{|y| < c} [V_x(s, E_s, X(s-) + h(s, E_s, X(s-), y)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - V_x(s, E_s, X(s-))] \tilde{N}(dE_s, dy) \right| \geq k\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Itô's formula (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.14) \quad 0 &\leq \mathbb{E}[V(t \wedge \tau_h \wedge \tau_\alpha \wedge U_k \wedge W_k, E_{t \wedge \tau_h \wedge \tau_\alpha \wedge U_k \wedge W_k}, X(t \wedge \tau_h \wedge \tau_\alpha \wedge U_k \wedge W_k))] \\
&= V(0, 0, x_0) + \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_h \wedge \tau_\alpha \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} L_1 V(s, E_s, X(s-)) ds \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_h \wedge \tau_\alpha \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} L_2 V(s, E_s, X(s-)) dE_s \\
&\leq V(0, 0, x_0) - \gamma_1(\alpha) \mathbb{E}[t \wedge \tau_h \wedge \tau_\alpha \wedge U_k \wedge W_k] - \gamma_2(\alpha) \mathbb{E}[E_{t \wedge \tau_h \wedge \tau_\alpha \wedge U_k \wedge W_k}].
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$(4.15) \quad \gamma_1(\alpha) \mathbb{E}[\tau_h \wedge \tau_\alpha] + \gamma_2(\alpha) \mathbb{E}[E_{\tau_h \wedge \tau_\alpha}] \leq V(0, 0, x_0),$$

By condition (3) and $E_t \rightarrow \infty$ a.s. as $t \rightarrow \infty$, see proof of Theorem 3.8, we have

$$(4.16) \quad P(\tau_h \wedge \tau_\alpha < \infty) = 1.$$

Since $P(\tau_h = \infty) > 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{5}$, it follows that $P(\tau_h < \infty) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{5}$, thus

$$(4.17) \quad 1 = P(\tau_h \wedge \tau_\alpha < \infty) \leq P(\tau_h < \infty) + P(\tau_\alpha < \infty) \leq P(\tau_\alpha < \infty) + \frac{\epsilon}{5},$$

that's,

$$(4.18) \quad P(\tau_\alpha < \infty) \geq 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{5}.$$

Choose θ sufficiently large for

$$(4.19) \quad P(\tau_\alpha < \theta) \geq 1 - \frac{2\epsilon}{5}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.20) \quad P(\tau_\alpha < \tau_h \wedge \theta) &\geq P(\{\tau_\alpha < \theta\} \cap \{\tau_h = \infty\}) = P(\tau_\alpha < \theta) - P(\{\tau_\alpha < \theta\} \cap \{\tau_h < \infty\}) \\
&\geq P(\tau_\alpha < \theta) - P(\tau_h < \infty) \geq 1 - \frac{2\epsilon}{5} - \frac{\epsilon}{5} = 1 - \frac{3\epsilon}{5}
\end{aligned}$$

Now define some stopping times

$$(4.21) \quad \sigma = \begin{cases} \tau_\alpha, & \text{if } \tau_\alpha < \tau_h \wedge \theta \\ \infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.22) \quad \tau_\beta &= \inf\{t \geq \sigma; |X(t, x_0)| \geq \beta\}, \\
S_i &= \inf\{t \geq \sigma; \left| \int_\sigma^{\tau_\beta \wedge t} V_x(s, E_s, X(s-)) g(s, E_s, X(s-)) dB_{E_s} \right| \geq i\}, \\
T_i &= \inf\{t \geq \sigma; \left| \int_\sigma^{\tau_\beta \wedge t} \int_{|y| < c} [V(s, E_s, X(s-)) + h(s, E_s, X(s-), y)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - V(s, E_s, X(s-))] \tilde{N}(dE_s, dy) \right| \geq i\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Again, by Itô's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.23) \quad &\mathbb{E} \left[V(t \wedge \tau_\beta \wedge S_i \wedge T_i, E_{t \wedge \tau_\beta \wedge S_i \wedge T_i}, X(t \wedge \tau_\beta \wedge S_i \wedge T_i)) \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[V(t \wedge \sigma, E_{t \wedge \sigma}, X(t \wedge \sigma)) \right] + \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{t \wedge \sigma \wedge}^{t \wedge \tau_\beta \wedge S_i \wedge T_i} L_1 V(s, E_s, X(s-)) ds \right] \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{t \wedge \sigma \wedge}^{t \wedge \tau_\beta \wedge S_i \wedge T_i} L_2 V(s, E_s, X(s-)) dE_s \right] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E} \left[V(t \wedge \sigma, E_{t \wedge \sigma}, X(t \wedge \sigma)) \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $i \rightarrow \infty$,

$$(4.24) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[V(\sigma \wedge t, E_{\sigma \wedge t}, X(\sigma \wedge t)) \right] \geq \mathbb{E} \left[V(\tau_\beta \wedge t, E_{\tau_\beta \wedge t}, X(\tau_\beta \wedge t)) \right],$$

that is,

$$(4.25) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[V(\sigma \wedge t, E_{\sigma \wedge t}, X(\sigma \wedge t)) [\mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma < \infty\}} + \mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma = \infty\}}] \right] \geq \mathbb{E} \left[V(\tau_\beta \wedge t, E_{\tau_\beta \wedge t}, X(\tau_\beta \wedge t)) [\mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma < \infty\}} + \mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma = \infty\}}] \right].$$

For $w \in \{\tau_\alpha \geq \tau_h \wedge \theta\}$, we have $\sigma = \infty$, then $\tau_\beta = \infty$, thus

$$(4.26) \quad V(\sigma \wedge t, E_{\sigma \wedge t}, X(\sigma \wedge t)) = V(t, E_t, X(t))$$

and

$$(4.27) \quad V(\tau_\beta \wedge t, E_{\tau_\beta \wedge t}, X(\tau_\beta \wedge t)) = V(t, E_t, X(t))$$

Thus,

$$(4.28) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[V(\sigma \wedge t, E_{\sigma \wedge t}, X(\sigma \wedge t)) \mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma < \infty\}} \right] \geq \mathbb{E} \left[V(\tau_\beta \wedge t, E_{\tau_\beta \wedge t}, X(\tau_\beta \wedge t)) \mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma < \infty\}} \right].$$

Now, focus on the right hand side of (4.28), by definition of τ_β , $\tau_\beta \geq \sigma$, thus $\mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma < \infty\}} \geq \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_\beta < \infty\}}$, then

$$(4.29) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[V(\tau_\beta \wedge t, E_{\tau_\beta \wedge t}, X(\tau_\beta \wedge t)) \mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma < \infty\}} \right] \geq \mathbb{E} \left[V(\tau_\beta \wedge t, E_{\tau_\beta \wedge t}, X(\tau_\beta \wedge t)) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_\beta < \infty\}} \right].$$

Combining (4.28) and (4.29), we have

$$(4.30) \quad \mathbb{E} \left[V(\sigma \wedge t, E_{\sigma \wedge t}, X(\sigma \wedge t)) \mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma < \infty\}} \right] \geq \mathbb{E} \left[V(\tau_\beta \wedge t, E_{\tau_\beta \wedge t}, X(\tau_\beta \wedge t)) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_\beta < \infty\}} \right].$$

Since $P(\sigma < \infty) = P(\tau_\alpha < \tau_h \wedge \theta)$ and $P(\tau_\beta < \infty) \geq P(\{\tau_\beta < \infty\} \cap \{\tau_h = \infty\})$, it follows that

$$(4.31) \quad \mathbb{E} [V(\tau_\beta, E_{\tau_\beta}, X(\tau_\beta)) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_\beta < \infty\} \cap \{\tau_h = \infty\}}] \leq \mathbb{E} [V(\tau_\alpha, E_{\tau_\alpha}, X(\tau_\alpha)) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_\alpha < \tau_h \wedge \theta\}}].$$

By condition (2)

$$(4.32) \quad 0 \leq \mu(|x|) \leq V(t_1, t_2, x),$$

for all $(t_1, t_2, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$, and $|X(\tau_\beta)| \geq \beta > 0$.

Then, for the left hand side of (4.31), we have

$$(4.33) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [V(\tau_\beta, E_{\tau_\beta}, X(\tau_\beta)) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_\beta < \infty\} \cap \{\tau_h = \infty\}}] &\geq \mathbb{E} [\mu(|X(\tau_\beta)|) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_\beta < \infty\} \cap \{\tau_h = \infty\}}] \\ &\geq \mathbb{E} [\mu(\beta) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_\beta < \infty\} \cap \{\tau_h = \infty\}}] \\ &= \mu(\beta) \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_\beta < \infty\} \cap \{\tau_h = \infty\}}] \\ &= \mu(\beta) P(\{\tau_\beta < \infty\} \cap \{\tau_h = \infty\}). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(4.34) \quad B_\alpha = \sup_{t_1 \times t_2 \times x \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \bar{S}_\alpha} V(t_1, t_2, x),$$

then $B_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, that's, $\frac{B_\alpha}{\mu(\beta)} < \frac{\epsilon}{5}$ for some α .

For the right hand side of (4.31),

$$(4.35) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [V(\tau_\alpha, E_{\tau_\alpha}, X(\tau_\alpha)) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_\alpha < \tau_h \wedge \theta\}}] &\leq \mathbb{E} [B_\alpha \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_\alpha < \tau_h \wedge \theta\}}] \\ &= B_\alpha \mathbb{E} [\mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_\alpha < \tau_h \wedge \theta\}}] \\ &= B_\alpha P(\tau_\alpha < \tau_h \wedge \theta). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.33) and (4.35), we have

$$(4.36) \quad P(\{\tau_\beta < \infty\} \cap \{\tau_h = \infty\}) \mu(\beta) \leq B_\alpha P(\tau_\alpha < \tau_h \wedge \theta),$$

thus

$$(4.37) \quad P(\{\tau_\beta < \infty\} \cap \{\tau_h = \infty\}) \leq \frac{B_\alpha}{\mu(\beta)} P(\tau_\alpha < \tau_h \wedge \theta) < \frac{\epsilon}{5}.$$

Also,

$$(4.38) \quad P(\{\tau_\beta < \infty\} \cap \{\tau_h = \infty\}) \geq P(\tau_\beta < \infty) - P(\tau_h < \infty) > P(\tau_\beta < \infty) - \frac{\epsilon}{5},$$

so,

$$(4.39) \quad P(\tau_\beta < \infty) < \frac{2\epsilon}{5}.$$

Next

$$(4.40) \quad \begin{aligned} P(\{\sigma < \infty\} \cap \{\tau_\beta = \infty\}) &\geq P(\sigma < \infty) - P(\tau_\beta < \infty) \\ &> P(\tau_\alpha < \tau_h \wedge \theta) - \frac{2\epsilon}{5} \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{3\epsilon}{5} - \frac{2\epsilon}{5} \\ &= 1 - \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$(4.41) \quad P\{\omega; \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |X(t, x_0)| \leq \beta\} > 1 - \epsilon.$$

Since β is arbitrary, we have

$$(4.42) \quad P\{\omega; \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |X(t, x_0)| = 0\} > 1 - \epsilon,$$

as desired. □

4.3. Proof of Theorem (3.8).

Proof. Define a function $Z : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by

$$(4.43) \quad Z(t_1, t_2, x) = \exp(\alpha_3 t_1) V(t_1, t_2, x).$$

Fix any $x_0 \neq 0$ in \mathbb{R} . For each $n \geq |x_0|$, define

$$\tau_n = \inf\{t \geq 0 : |X(t)| \geq n\},$$

and

$$(4.44) \quad \begin{aligned} U_k = & k \wedge \inf\{t \geq 0; \left| \int_0^{\tau_n \wedge t} V_x(s, E_s, X(s-)) g(s, E_s, X(s-)) dB_{E_s} \right| \geq k\}, \\ W_k = & k \wedge \inf\{t \geq 0; \left| \int_0^{\tau_n \wedge t} \int_{|y| < c} \left[V(s, E_s, X(s-) + h(s, E_s, X(s-), y)) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. - V(s, E_s, X(s-)) \right] \tilde{N}(ds, dy) \right| \geq k\}, \end{aligned}$$

for $k=1,2,\dots$. It is easy to see that $U_k \rightarrow \infty$ and $W_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Apply Itô formula (3.2) to $Z(\tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k, E_{\tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k}, X(\tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k))$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& Z(t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k, E_{t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k}, X(t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k)) - Z(0, 0, x_0) \\
&= \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} \exp(\alpha_3 s) \left[\alpha_3 V(s, E_s, X(s-)) + V_s(s, E_s, X(s-)) \right] ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} \exp(\alpha_3 s) V_{E_s}(s, E_s, X(s-)) dE_s \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} \exp(\alpha_3 s) V_x(s, E_s, X(s-)) \left[f(s, E_s, X(s-)) dt \right. \\
&\quad \quad \quad \left. + k(s, E_s, X(s-)) dE_t + g(s, E_s, X(s-)) dB_{E_t} \right] \\
(4.45) \quad & + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} \exp(\alpha_3 s) V_{xx}(s, E_s, X(s-)) g^2(s, E_s, X(s-)) dE_s \\
& + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} \int_{|y| < c} \exp(\alpha_3 s) \left[V(s, E_s, X(s-) + h(s, E_s, X(s-), y)) \right. \\
& \quad \quad \quad \left. - V(s, E_s, X(s-)) \right] \tilde{N}(dE_s, dy) \\
& + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} \int_{|y| < c} \exp(\alpha_3 s) \left[V(s, E_s, X(s-) + h(s, E_s, X(s-), y)) - V(s, E_s, X(s-)) \right. \\
& \quad \quad \quad \left. - V_x(s, E_s, X(s-)) h(s, E_s, X(s-), y) \right] \nu(dy) dE_s \\
&= \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} \exp(\alpha_3 s) \left[\alpha_3 V(s, E_s, X(s-)) + V_s(s, E_s, X(s-)) \right. \\
& \quad \quad \quad \left. + V_x(s, E_s, X(s-)) f(s, E_s, X(s-)) \right] ds \\
& + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} \exp(\alpha_3 s) \left[V_{E_s}(s, E_s, X(s-)) + V_x(s, E_s, X(s-)) k(s, E_s, X(s-)) \right. \\
& + \frac{1}{2} V_{xx}(s, E_s, X(s-)) g^2(s, E_s, X(s-)) + \int_{|y| < c} \left[V(s, E_s, X(s-) + h(s, E_s, X(s-), y)) \right. \\
& \quad \quad \quad \left. - V(s, E_s, X(s-)) - V_x(s, E_s, X(s-)) h(s, E_s, X(s-), y) \right] \nu(dy) \Big] dE_s \\
& + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} \exp(\alpha_3 s) g(s, E_s, X(s-)) V_x(s, E_s, X(s-)) dB_{E_s} \\
& + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} \int_{|y| < c} \exp(\alpha_3 s) \left[V(s, E_s, X(s-) + h(s, E_s, X(s-), y)) \right. \\
& \quad \quad \quad \left. - V(s, E_s, X(s-)) \right] \tilde{N}(dE_s, dy)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} \exp(\alpha_3 s) \left[\alpha_3 V(s, E_s, X(s-)) + L_1 V(s, E_s, X(s-)) \right] ds \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} \exp(\alpha_3 s) L_2 V(s, E_s, X(s-)) dE_s \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} \exp(\alpha_3 s) g(s, E_s, X(s-)) V_x(s, E_s, X(s-)) dB_{E_s} \\
&\quad + \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} \int_{|y| < c} \exp(\alpha_3 s) \left[V(s, E_s, X(s-) + h(s, E_s, X(s-), y)) \right. \\
&\quad \quad \quad \left. - V(s, E_s, X(s-)) \right] \tilde{N}(dE_s, dy)
\end{aligned}$$

By similar ideas as in the proof of (4.1), we have that

$$\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} \exp(\alpha_3 s) g(s, E_s, X(s-)) V_x(s, E_s, X(s-)) dB_{E_s}$$

and

$$\int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} \int_{|y| < c} \exp(\alpha_3 s) \left[V(s, E_s, X(s-) + h(s, E_s, X(s-), y)) - V(s, E_s, X(s-)) \right] \tilde{N}(dE_s, dy)$$

are mean zero martingales. Taking expectations on both sides, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbb{E}[\exp(\alpha_3(t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k)) V(t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k, E_{t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k}, X(t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k))] \\
(4.46) \quad &\leq \mathbb{E} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_n \wedge U_k \wedge W_k} \exp(\alpha_3 s) \left[\alpha_3 V(s, E_s, X(s-)) + L_1 V(s, E_s, X(s-)) \right] ds + V(0, 0, x_0) \\
&\leq V(0, 0, x_0).
\end{aligned}$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ and $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\mathbb{E}[\exp(\alpha_3 t) V(t, E_t, X(t))] \leq V(0, 0, x_0)$. By condition (2),

$$(4.47) \quad \alpha_1 |X(t)|^p \leq V(t, E_t, X(t)),$$

then

$$(4.48) \quad \alpha_1 \mathbb{E}(\exp(\alpha_3 t) |X(t)|^p) \leq \mathbb{E}(\exp(\alpha_3 s) V(t, E_t, X(t))) \leq V(0, 0, x_0) \leq \alpha_2 |x_0|^p,$$

that's

$$(4.49) \quad \mathbb{E}(|X(t)|^p) \leq \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \exp(-\alpha_3 t) |x_0|^p,$$

as desired. □

4.4. Proof of Corollary 3.11.

Proof. If $Y(t)$ satisfies SDE (3.32), by Theorem 4.2 in [7], $X(t) = Y(E_t)$ satisfies (3.31).

Since $Y(t)$ is pth moment exponentially stable, there exist two positive constants λ and C such that

$$(4.50) \quad \mathbb{E}[|X(t)|^p] \leq C |x_0|^p \exp(-\lambda t), \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad \forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \quad p > 0,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.51) \quad \mathbb{E}[|Y(t)|^p] &= \mathbb{E}[|X(E_t)|^p] \\
&= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}[|X(s)|^p \exp(\lambda s) \exp(-\lambda s) |E_t = s] f_{E_t}(s) ds \\
&= \int_0^\infty \mathbb{E}[|X(s)|^p \exp(\lambda s) |E_t = s] \exp(-\lambda s) f_{E_t}(s) ds \\
&\leq \int_0^\infty C |x_0|^p \exp(-\lambda s) f_{E_t}(s) ds \\
&= C |x_0|^p \mathbb{E}[\exp(-\lambda E_t)].
\end{aligned}$$

Since E_t is nondecreasing and $E_0 = 0$, by definition of E_t , we claim that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} E_t = \infty$ a.s.. Assume to the contrary that there exists $B > 0$ such that $E_t < B$ for all $t > 0$ with positive probability, then $D(B) > t$ for all $t > 0$ with positive probability. However, by Lemma 12.1 of [2], $D(B)$ is bounded, which results in a contradiction. Consequently, $\mathbb{E}[\exp(-\lambda E_t)] \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, as desired. □

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Applebaum, M. Siakalli, Asymptotic stability of stochastic differential equations driven by Lévy noise, *Journal of Applied Probability* 46 1116-1129 (2009).
- [2] P. Billingsley, *Weak Convergence of Probability Measures*, 2nd edition, 1999.
- [3] M. G. Hahn, K. Kobayshi, S. Umarov, SDEs driven by a time-changed Lévy process and their associated time-fractional order pseudo-differential equations, *Proceedings of the American mathematical Society* 139 (2), 691-705.
- [4] D. Henderson, P. Plachko, *Stochastic Differential Equations in Science and Engineering*, World Scientific Publishing, 2006.
- [5] J.E. Hilliard, R. Jorge, *Jump Processes in Commodity Futures Prices and Options Pricing*, *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 1999, Volume 81, No. 2, pp 273-286.
- [6] S.K. Jha, C.J. Langmead, Exploring behaviors of stochastic differential equation models of biological systems using change of measures, *BMC Bioinformatics*. 2012; 13(Suppl 5): S8.
- [7] K. Kobayashi, *Stochastic Calculus for a Time-Changed Semimartingale and the Associated Stochastic Differential Equations*, *Journal of Theoretical Probability*, September 2011, Volume 24, Issue 3, pp 789-820.
- [8] H.H. Kuo, *Introduction to Stochastic Integration*, Springer, 2006.
- [9] W. Liu, M. Foondun, X. Mao, Mean Square Polynomial Stability of Numerical Solutions to a Class of Stochastic Differential Equations, *Statistics & Probability Letters* 92, 173-182.
- [10] M. Magdziarz, *Path Properties of Subdiffusion - A Martingale Approach*, *Stochastic Models*, May 2010.
- [11] X. Mao, *Stochastic differential equations and applications*, second edition, Woodhead Publishing, 2007.
- [12] M.M. Meerschaert, E. Nane and P. Vellaisamy, Fractional Cauchy problems on bounded domains, *Ann. Probab.*, 37 (2009), 979-1007.
- [13] J.B. Mijena and E. Nane. Space-time fractional stochastic partial differential equations. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 125, (2015) 3301-3326.
- [14] E. Nane and Y. Ni. Stochastic Solution of Fractional Fokker-Planck Equations with Space-Time-Dependent Coefficients. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 2016.
- [15] M. Siakalli, *Stability properties of stochastic differential equations driven by Lévy noise*, 2009.
- [16] K. Sobczyk, *Stochastic Differential Equations: With Applications to Physics and Engineering*, Springer Netherlands, 1991.
- [17] Q. Wu, *Stability of stochastic differential equation with respect to time-changed Brownian motion*, 2016.
- [18] Q. Wu, *Stability analysis for a class of nonlinear time-changed systems*, 2016.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AUBURN, AL 36849 USA
E-mail address: ezn@auburn.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AUBURN, AL 36849 USA
E-mail address: yzn0005@auburn.edu